

# UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification							February 2006	
Appropriation/Budget Activity RDT&E.A BA4				R-1 Item Nomenclature: 0603725N/ Facilities Improvement				
COST (\$ in millions)		FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total PE Cost		1.955	6.396	4.194	4.183	4.101	4.064	4.021
0995 Facilities System		1.955	1.512	1.697	1.775	1.828	1.880	1.935
3155 Antiterrorism/Force Protection		0.000	2.584	2.497	2.408	2.273	2.184	2.086
9999 Congressional Add		0.000	2.300	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: (U) This program provides for capabilities to a) overcome performance limitations and reduce the life cycle cost of shore facilities, and b) provide protection against terrorist attacks for shore installations and their operations. The program focuses on technical and operational issues of specific Navy interest, where there are no unbiased test validated Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) solutions available, and where timely capabilities may not materialize without specific demonstration or validation by the Navy. Additionally, the program completes the development of technologies originating from Navy, DOD and other sources of Science and Technology programs, including the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and Department of Energy (DOE). Validated technologies are implemented in the Navy's Military Construction (MILCON) and Sustainment Restoration and Modernization (SRM) program, and Antiterrorism and Force Protection (ATFP) Other Procurement, Navy (OP,N) program. Project 0995 addresses three Navy facilities requirements during the fiscal years FY 2005 through FY 2007: Waterfront Facilities Repair and Upgrade, Facilities Technologies to Reduce the Cost of Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization, and Modular Hybrid Pier for reducing the total ownership cost of future facilities. This project is consistent with recommendation of two National Academy of Sciences Reports: "The Role of Federal Agencies in Fostering New Technology and Innovation in Building" and "Federal Policies to Foster Innovation and Improvement in Constructed Facilities." Starting in FY06 the Antiterrorism Force Protection Project 3155, addresses selective topics in simulation and risk modeling; and material technologies to reduce the vulnerability of installations; and reduce the acquisition and operating costs of protective technologies. The demonstrations and validations provide the independent, technical and operational test data for the development of competitive performance specifications to acquire the required capabilities. The ATFP project is coordinated with other DOD programs.								
B. Program Change Summary:								
Funding:		FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007				
FY 2006 President's Budget		4.577	4.158	4.335				
FY 2007 Budget Estimate		1.955	6.396	4.194				
Total Adjustments		2.622	2.238	-0.141				
Summary of Adjustments								
Program Adjustments		0.001	0.000	-0.170				
Rate Adjustments		0.000	0.000	0.029				
Congressional Add		0.000	2.300	0.000				
Sec. 8125: Revised Economic Assumptions		0.000	-0.019	0.000				
Congressional Undistributed Reduction		0.000	-0.043	0.000				
Department of Energy Transfer		-0.001	0.000	0.000				
Execution Realignment		0.350	0.000	0.000				
Program Realignment		-2.972	0.000	0.000				
C. Other Program Funding Summary: Provided in R-2a.								
D. Acquisition Strategy: Provided in R-2a.								

R-1 Line-Item No. 64  
Page 1 of 16

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:		
							February 2006		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME			PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA-4		0603725N / Facilities Improvement			0995/ Facilities System				
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Project Cost			1.955	1.512	1.697	1.775	1.828	1.880	1.935
RDT&E Articles Qty			2	3	4	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification:									
<p>(U) This program provides the Navy with new civil engineering capabilities that are required to overcome specific performance limitations of Naval shore facilities while reducing the cost of sustaining the Naval shore infrastructure. The program focuses available resources on satisfying facility requirements where the Navy is a major stakeholder. There are no test validated Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) solutions available, and a timely solution will not emerge without a Navy sponsored demonstration and validation. The program completes the development and validation of facility technologies originating in Navy Science and Technology programs, plus a variety of other sources which includes the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Validated technologies are implemented in the Navy's Military Construction (MILCON) and Sustainment Restoration and Modernization Programs. Project Y0995 is addressing three Navy facilities requirements during the fiscal years FY 2005 through FY 2007: Waterfront Facilities Repair and Upgrade, Facilities Technologies to Reduce the Cost of Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization and Modular Hybrid Pier. The execution of this program is consistent with the findings and recommendation of two National Academy of Sciences Reports: "The Role of Federal Agencies in Fostering New Technology and Innovation in Building" and "Federal Policies to Foster Innovation and Improvement in Constructed Facilities."</p>									
(U) WATERFRONT FACILITIES REPAIR AND UPGRADE									
<p>(U) Over 75% of the Navy's waterfront facilities are over 45 years old. They were designed for a service life of 25 years and to satisfy the mission requirements existing at that time. The over aged reinforced concrete requires costly and repetitive repairs. In addition, to accomplish more pier side ship maintenance and thus reduce drydock costs, these piers must be strengthened to support concentrated crane loads up to 140 tons when piers were originally designed for no concentrated loads. This sub-project addresses new materials and design methods to extend the service life of existing waterfront facilities by an additional 15 or more years, and conventional concrete patches and composite-enhanced repairs. Other initiatives include; new longer-lasting low-maintenance fendering systems that eliminate the need for the frequent replacement of timber piles and fenders; a new Impluse Load Method (ILM) for accurately and quickly determining the vertical load capacity of piers and wharves; and a new Swinging Weight Deflectometer (SWD) technique to determine the lateral stability of piers for earthquake forces and docking ship's impact. Using this new technology at a cost of \$1-2M for repairs and upgrades per pier will result in \$50M in cost avoidance for demolition and replacement.</p>									
(U) FACILITY TECHNOLOGIES TO REDUCE THE COST OF SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION (SRM)									
<p>(U) The costs to correct these critical facility backlog deficiencies are over \$3.1B as reported in the FY 2000 Annual Inspection Summary (AIS). Current Navy SRM funding levels are insufficient to prevent the continued growth of the backlog of mission and safety critical maintenance and repairs. This effort will demonstrate and validate the cost and reliability of advanced technologies in order to assure their acceptance and implementation in traditionally conservative public works and construction industries. The effort will accelerate the validation, commercialization, and wide-spread implementation of the facility technologies urgently required to reduce the cost of correcting the deficiencies in the Navy's SRM backlog. Estimated returns on these investments are better than 60 to 1.</p>									

R-1 Line -Item No. 64

Page 2 of 16

(Exhibit R-2a, Page 1 of 5)

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification		DATE: February 2006
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>RDT&amp;E, N / BA-4</b>	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME 0995/ Facilities System
<p>(U) MODULAR HYBRID PIER (MHP)</p> <p>The Navy is faced with the necessity of recapitalizing a large portion of its waterfront infrastructure over the next several decades. The Modular Hybrid Pier initiative develops and validates innovative material and design technologies for a mission-flexible waterfront infrastructure characterized by significantly reduced total ownership cost and increased mission flexibility. The proceeding sub-project Waterfront Facilities Repair and Upgrade will enable the Navy to economically extend the useful service life of existing piers and wharves. While reducing the need for immediate replacement, eventual replacement will be required. This MHP sub-project provides improved technology for new piers. Emerging innovative structural and materials technologies, particularly those that will transition from the Navy's applied research and advanced development program, will provide enhanced-capability. Structures may have a comparable initial cost yet have far less maintenance and repair costs. Use of advanced materials and high performance lightweight concrete will produce structures that have twice the economic service life of the conventional piers. Modular design will enable off-site fabrication in pre-cast plants that will shorten the duration and lower the cost relative to conventional on-site construction. Plant fabrication will vastly improve repair-free durability because of superior quality control and application of high performance concrete and post-tensioning technologies. The modular concept will facilitate change-out of components for modifications to increase or capacity to adapt to future in ship designs. Mobility/relocatability of barge size modules through flotation is a significant new capability option which saves money and provides new military worth. An economic analysis has shown that a modular hybrid (deployable) pier will have a Net Present Value (NPV) cost that is \$15M less over its service life than that for a conventional pier constructed of ordinary reinforced concrete. The MHP will have superior operational benefits to ship/port operations.</p>		

R-1 Line - Item No. 64

Page 3 of 16

(Exhibit R-2a, Page 2 of 5)

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification			DATE: February 2006	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>RDT&amp;E, N / BA-4</b>	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME 0995 / Facilities System		
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Program</b>				
		FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
Waterfront Repair and Upgrade		0.000	0.000	0.100
RDT&E Articles Quantity				
<p>FY 07: Complete validation testing and evaluation of Swinging Weight Deflectometer (new capability) method for determining the remaining strength of piers to resist lateral loads from berthing ships. Initiate testing of agents to reduce corrosion inducing chloride ion penetration rates.</p>				
		FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization Tech Reduc		0.000	0.000	0.500
RDT&E Articles Quantity				
<p>FY 07: Complete field (validation) testing of high temperature pavement joint sealants.</p>				

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification		DATE: February 2006															
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>RDT&amp;E, N / BA-4</b>	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME 0995 / Facilities System															
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (Cont.)</b>																	
<table border="1"><thead><tr><th></th><th></th><th>FY 05</th><th>FY 06</th><th>FY 07</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Modular Hybrid Pier</td><td></td><td>1.955</td><td>1.512</td><td>1.097</td></tr><tr><td>RDT&amp;E Articles Quantity</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table>					FY 05	FY 06	FY 07	Modular Hybrid Pier		1.955	1.512	1.097	RDT&E Articles Quantity		2	0	
		FY 05	FY 06	FY 07													
Modular Hybrid Pier		1.955	1.512	1.097													
RDT&E Articles Quantity		2	0														
<div><p>FY 05: Construct test structure mooring and moor modules. Demonstrate ability to to achieve critical technical parameters for installation tolerances and for construction quality during module assembly and mooring integration.</p><p>FY 06: Install and test shore access ramp and support bearings for required strength and rotational/traditional capabilities. Install and test full scale MHP service utility mock-ups at ramp articulation points. Initiate corrosion monitoring and structural tests (DT/OT) on critical subassemblies of demonstration structure (assembled modules and moorings). Complete suimulation and modeling of response to long period waves (harbor seiche) and to wakes of passing ships.</p><p>FY 07: Complete structural and hydrodynamic tests on demonstration structure. Continue corrosion monitoring. Complete hydrodynamic modeling and simulation of response to hurricane generated wind, wave and current. Revise preliminary design to capture lessons-learned from test article construction, demonstration testing and simulation &amp; modeling.</p></div>																	

R-1 Line-Item No. 64

Page 5 of 16

(Exhibit R-2a, Page 4 of 5)

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification						DATE: <b>February 2006</b>	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>RDT&amp;E, N / BA-4</b>		PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement		PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME 0995 / Facilities System			

**C. Other Program Funding Summary:**

Line Item No. & Name	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	To Complete	Total Cost
P-1 Procurement Line Item No. & Name. Not applicable.									
C-1 MILCON Project No. & Name. Not applicable.									
(U) RELATED RDT&E: This project transitions waterfront facilities technology from applied research and advanced development programs PE0602234N, Materials, Electronics and Computer Technology, PE0602236N, Warfighter Sustainment Applied Research, and PE0603236N, Warfighter Sustainment Advanced Technology. It also transitions facility technologies developed at universities under the sponsorship of the National Science Foundation (NSF), by the Building and Fire Research Laboratory (BRL) of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), and by the Construction Engineering Research Laboratories (CERL) and Waterways Experiment Station (WES) of the U. S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (USARDC) when they can contribute to the solution of one of the Navy requirements being addressed by this project. The project pursues opportunities to leverage private sector investment through partnerships with private sector organizations, such as the Civil Engineering Research Foundation (CERF), the Marketing Development Alliance (MDA) of Fiberglass Reinforced Plastics Composites Industry and the Strategic Development Council of the American Concrete Institute. The project seeks to leverage and collaborate with the navy Sustainment, Restoration and efforts including Military Construction.									

**D. Acquisition Strategy:**

(U) This project is categorized as Non-ACAT (Non Acquisition). The know-how produced from this project enables the safe and cost effective application of emerging/advanced technology concepts and products: 1) specifying or describing the performance, 2) enabling innovative design applications, 3) enabling quality control/quality assurance during constructions, 4) enabling reliability and maintainability during operations, and 5) developing lifecycle cost projections and environmental sustainability life cycle data for Navy policy guidance and criteria serving the Navy Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization and Military Construction (MILCON) programs. The data from this program enables earliest and safe utilization of advanced technology for cost avoidance in the facilities infrastructure. The technical know-how of this program is transferred to the construction industry that delivers Navy construction and maintenance through the inclusion of individual firms (using competitive selection processes) and industry organizations/associations in the development and testing activities. MILCON, Repair and Modernization are not serial production acquisition processes but site specific construction acquisitions.

R-1 Line - Item No. 64

Page 6 of 16

(Exhibit R-2a, Page 5 of 5)

UNCLASSIFIED

## UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 1)							DATE:		February 2006						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY			PROGRAM ELEMENT			PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME									
RDT&E, N / BA-4			PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement			0995 / Facilities System									
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s Cost			FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	FY 06 Cost	FY 06 Award Date	FY 07 Cost	FY 07 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
Waterfront Facilities Repair & Upgrade	WX	NFESC, Pt Hueneme, CA	1.760							0.100	10/06	nominal varies	cont.	na	
	WR	NUWC, New London, CT	0.687										0.687		
	WR	EFANW, Poulsbo, WA	0.012										0.012		
	FP	MCA Engrg, Costa Mesa, CA	0.045										0.045		
Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization Tech	WX	NFESC, Pt Hueneme, CA	3.583							0.200	10/06	nominal varies	cont.	na	
	FP	CERF, Washington, DC	0.045										0.045		
	RC	LANTDIV, Norfolk, VA	0.051										0.051		
	FP	NAS Misawa, Misawa, Japan	0.028										0.028		
	WR	SWDIV, San Diego, CA	0.002										0.002		
	FP	Han Padron Inc., NY	0.019										0.019		
	FP	Atmos Anal. &Consult, Inc.	0.006										0.006		
	RC	N. State Univ. Aberdeen, MD	0.042										0.042		
	WR	PWD, NWS, Charleston, SC	0.081										0.081		
	FP	ADC, Inc.	0.021										0.021		
	FP	Weston Geophysical, MA	0.025										0.025		
	FP	Northwestern Univ., IL	0.024										0.024		
	FP	Blackledge Diving	0.010										0.010		
	FP	ABC Painting, CA	0.032										0.032		
	FP	Polyspec Corp. TX	0.060										0.060		
	FP	Abras. Blast & Coat, CA	0.030										0.030		
	MP	U. S. Army Huntsville, AL	0.100										0.100		
	RC	Contractors TBD	0.050							0.300	03/07	cont.	cont.		
Modular Hybrid Pier	WR	NFESC, Pt Hueneme, CA	0.760			0.750	10/04	0.637	10/05	0.297	10/06	nominal varies	cont.	na	
	WR	SWDIV, San Diego, CA	0.142			0.195	10/04						0.337		
	FP	BergerAbam, Seattle, WA	2.308					0.800	02/06	0.700	02/07		3.808		
	RC	Marathon Const., CA	1.147			1.010	03/05						2.157		
	RC	Texas A&M	0.000					0.075	03/06	0.100	03/07				
			11.070			1.955		1.512		1.697		0.000	16.234		
Remarks: Total Prior Years Cost summation does not include performing activities from projects completed in prior years.															
Development Support													0.000		
Software Development													0.000		
Training Development													0.000		
Integrated Logistics Support													0.000		
Configuration Management													0.000		
Technical Data													0.000		
GFE													0.000		
Award Fees													0.000		
Subtotal Support			0.000			0.000		0.000				0.000	0.000		
Remarks: Included in Product Development costs.															

R-1 Line - Item No. 64

Page 7 of 16

(Exhibit R-3, page 1 of 2)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis ( page 2 )							DATE: February 2006							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY			PROGRAM ELEMENT			PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME								
RDT&E, N / BA-4			PE0603725N / Facilities improvement			0995 / Facilities System								
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s Cost			FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	FY 06 Cost	FY 06 Award Date	FY 07 Cost	FY 07 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation													0.000	
Operational Test & Evaluation													0.000	
Live Fire Test & Evaluation													0.000	
Test Assets													0.000	
Tooling													0.000	
GFE													0.000	
Award Fees													0.000	
Subtotal T&E			0.000			0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
Remarks: Not applicable.														
Contractor Engineering Support													0.000	
Government Engineering Support													0.000	
Program Management Support													0.000	
Travel													0.000	
Labor (Research Personnel)													0.000	
SBIR Assessment													0.000	
Subtotal Management			0.000			0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
Remarks: Not applicable.														
Total Cost			11.070			1.955		1.512		1.697		0.000	16.234	
Remarks:														

R-1 Line-Item No. 64

Page 8 of 16

(Exhibit R-3, page 2 of 2)

UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule Profile																								DATE: February 2006								
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY												PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME												PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME								
RDT&E, N / BA-4												PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement												0995 / Facilities System								
Fiscal Year					2005				2006				2007				2008				2009				2010				2011			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
MHP Acquisition Milestones												MSC							IOC			FRP Dec										
MHP Systems Test Bed																																
MHP System Development																																
Test & Evaluation Milestones																																
Development Test																																
Operational Test																																
Production Milestones																																
LRIP (1st MHP) FY 07																																
FRP FY 09																																
Deliveries																																

R-1 Line Item No. 64  
Page 9 of 16

R-4 Schedule Profile

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

### R-4a Schedule Detail

**R-1 Line Item No. 64**  
Page 10 of 16

# UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:		
							February 2006		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME			PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA-4		0603725N / Facilities Improvement			3155 Antiterrorism/Force Protection				
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Project Cost			0.000	2.584	2.497	2.408	2.273	2.184	2.086
RDT&E Articles Qty			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<p><b>A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification:</b></p> <p>(U) Protection of the Navy Installations against terrorist activities requires development and deployment of advanced technology for force protection capabilities that are cost effective. Manpower costs of protection systems with today's technology are very high . Performance is not adequate to reduce vulnerability cost-effectively This Antiterrorism and Force Protection Ashore Project will develop, demonstrate and validate technologies for the following: access control and perimeter denial; waterside protection against craft and swimmer intrusion; secure and efficient operations centers and emergency centers (including human and information support systems); construction integrated surveillance sensors and robotic systems for intruder detection; material systems to improve utilities security and recovery; and material concepts to reduce injury and death. Through demonstration and validation of risk modeling and simulation models, the potential of emerging technologies will be evaluated and installation security strategies that reduce manpower and other costs will be formulated. Installation protection concepts against attacks from the air will be identified and jointly demonstrated. These demonstrations and validations derive from advanced technology from science and technology programs of government academia and industry. The technology produces data for performance specifications for competitive procurement. All work will be coordinated with other programs and through industry forums as appropriate.</p>									
Funding:			FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007				
Previous President's Budget: (FY 06 Pres Controls)			0.000	2.625	2.494				
Current Budget:			0.000	2.584	2.497				
Total Adjustments			0.000	-0.041	0.003				
Summary of Adjustments									
Program Realignment			0.000	-0.041	0.003				

R-1 Line- Item No. 64

Page 11 of 16

(Exhibit R-2a, Page 1 of 3)

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification		DATE: February 2006	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY RDT&E, N / BA-4	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME 0603725N / Facilities Improvement	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME 3155 Antiterrorism/Force Protection	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Program			
	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
	0.000	2.584	2.497
RDT&E Articles Quantity			
<p>FY 06: Develop and apply risk modeling to evaluate the potential of emerging technology and formulate installation protection concepts and operations that require reduced life cycle cost including manpower. The following technology areas will be explored for validation testing, cost reduction potential and transition to procurement: access control technology and configuration concepts; access denial concepts and technology against swimmers and submerged vehicles; secure operations centers and reduced manning concepts; material systems for utilities security and recovery; injury reduction design and material concepts, devices and systems; robotic devices to reduce human risk, enhance longevity and reliability of certain dangerous and repetitive functions in facilities protection; demonstrate simulation tools for resolving complex issues, such as terrorist attack probabilities and patterns and optimum defensive concepts for levels of technology; and demonstrate concepts of protection from air attacks. Appropriate test validations will be initiated.</p> <p>FY 07: Continue with technical and operational test demonstrations. Validation of decision support risk modeling and simulation tools for total installation with advanced technology to reduce manning. Demonstration of robotic and neural networks technology in high risk and complex installation protection functions. Define concept development for protection from terrorist air attacks. Demonstration of material concepts in enhancing the probability of utility continuity following an attack. Advanced access control technology demonstrations to reduce cost.</p>			

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification						DATE: February 2006			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME		PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME					
RDT&E, N / BA-4		0603725N / Facilities Improvement		3155 Antiterrorism/Force Protection					
C. Other Program Funding Summary:									
<u>Line Item No. &amp; Name</u>	<u>FY 2005</u>	<u>FY 2006</u>	<u>FY 2007</u>	<u>FY 2008</u>	<u>FY 2009</u>	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>To Complete</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
P-1 Procurement Line item No., Name: 812800 Physical Security Equipment	136.039	109.523	112.035	98.576	131.299	90.670	88.393	Con't	Con't
C-1 MILCON Project No. & Name. Not applicable.									
(U) RELATED RDT&E: PE 0605862	4.814								
D. Acquisition Strategy: Not applicable. Demonstration and validation is conducted for maximum transfer and interaction with industry such as to influence the industry COTS with the results of this demonstration and prototype validation. Acquisition is based on performance specifications enabled by this project.									
E. Major Performers Naval Surface Warfare Center , Crane IN Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC), Port Hueneme, CA Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC-DL), Dahlgren, VA Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC) Panama City, FL Naval Air Warfare Center(NAWC PAXRIV), Patuxent, MD SPAWAR Systems Center San Diego, CA Naval Air Warfare Center(NAWC) China Lake, CA									

R-1 Line-Item No. 64

Page 13 of 16

(Exhibit R-2a, Page 3 of 3)

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 1)										DATE: February 2006				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY			PROGRAM ELEMENT			PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME								
RDT&E, N / BA-4			PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement			3155 Antiterrorism/Force Protection								
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location		FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	FY 06 Cost	FY 06 Award Date	FY 07 Cost	FY 07 Award Date	FY 08 Cost	FY 08 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Antiterrorism/Force Protection	TBD	NFESC, Port Hueneme, CA		0.000		0.800		0.900	TBD	1.000	TBD	Cont.	Cont.	
Antiterrorism/Force Protection	TBD	NSWC Panama City & Dahlgren		0.000		0.784		0.397	TBD	0.408	TBD	Cont.	Cont.	
Antiterrorism/Force Protection	TBD	NAWC CHINA LAKE		0.000		0.000		0.200	TBD	0.000	TBD	Cont.	Cont.	
Antiterrorism/Force Protection	TBD	SSC San Diego		0.000		1.000		1.000	TBD	1.000	TBD	Cont.	Cont.	
														0.000
														0.000
														0.000
						2.584		2.497		2.408		0.000	0.000	
Remarks: New start in FY06.														
Development Support													0.000	
Software Development													0.000	
Training Development													0.000	
Integrated Logistics Support													0.000	
Configuration Management													0.000	
Technical Data													0.000	
GFE													0.000	
Award Fees													0.000	
Subtotal Support						0.000		0.000				0.000	0.000	
Remarks: Included in Product Development costs.														

R-1 Line - Item No. 64

Page 14 of 16

(Exhibit R-3, page 1 of 2)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis ( page 2 )										DATE: February 2006				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY			PROGRAM ELEMENT			PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME								
RDT&E, N / BA-4			PE0603725N / Facilities Improvement			3155 Antiterrorism/Force Protection								
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s Cost	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	FY 06 Cost	FY 06 Award Date	FY 07 Cost	FY 07 Award Date	FY 08 Cost	FY 08 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	NA							0.000	TBD	0.000	TBD	Cont.	Cont.	
Operational Test & Evaluation													0.000	
Live Fire Test & Evaluation													0.000	
Test Assets													0.000	
Tooling													0.000	
GFE													0.000	
Award Fees													0.000	
Subtotal T&E			0.000			0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
Remarks: Not applicable.														
Contractor Engineering Support													0.000	
Government Engineering Support													0.000	
Program Management Support													0.000	
Travel													0.000	
Labor (Research Personnel)													0.000	
SBIR Assessment													0.000	
Subtotal Management			0.000			0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
Remarks: Not applicable.														
Total Cost			0.000			2.584		2.497		2.408		0.000	0.000	
Remarks: Not applicable.														

R-1 Line- Item No. 64

Page 15 of 16

(Exhibit R-3, page 2 of 2)

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification			DATE: <b>February 2006</b>
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>RDT&amp;E, N / BA-4</b>	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME 0603725N / Facilities Improvement	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME 9999 Congressional Adds	
9538	Playas instrumentation network design and development	FY 2006 1,100	
9859	Regenerative fuel cell back up power systems for land installations	1,200	

R-1 Line -Item No. 64

Page 16 of 16

(Exhibit R-2a, Page 1 of 1)

# UNCLASSIFIED